Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/940,594	TOMITA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Zachary A. Davis	2137
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Final rejection mailed</u>		
(1) Zachary A. Davis.	(3)	
(2) Colin Barnitz (applicant's representative).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 March 2007	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed:		
n/a		
Part II.	•	•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner requested confirmation as to whether a reply had been Mr. Barnitz indicated that no reply had been filed.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
•		
		•
a love		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	VApplicant's Representative S	signature – if appropriate)